



Finance & Personnel Minutes
August 13, 2019

The Finance and Personnel Committee of the Rock Island County Board met at the above date and time in the Conference Room of the Administration Office on the second floor of the County Building, 1504 Third Ave, Rock Island, IL. Chair Luis Moreno called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. Minutes as follows:

1. Call to order and roll call

Members present: L. Moreno, K. Maranda, D. Johnston, D. Cremeens, A. Normoyle, K. Swanson

Members absent: S. Noyd, R. Simmer

Others present: L. Ewert, A. Palmer, J. Snider, R. Brunk, J. Hall, C. Przybyla, D. Hart, L. Wilson

2. Public Comment: None

3. Approval of the minutes –K. Maranda

Second- D. Creemens

Voice vote

Motion carried

Comments:

A Normoyle: There was an error where it talked about Forest Preserve. It said 1909. It should be 1959. There's a date that's off.

C Przybyla: I'll make that correction.

L. Moreno: Any other comments, questions, or concerns?

4. Reports to the Committee

L. Ewert- Other than the one report, I don't know if anyone had questions about the supplemental sales tax or interest report? There might be another decrease by the Federal Reserve before the end of the year. Second installment is due Aug 5. We are going to have our second distribution August 27. This month is a three payroll month so bigger hit on some of the accounts. Thankfully it's happening during a month when we get a distribution. We will get a distribution in before that third final payroll comes out. We have a third installment. This is when the taxes are back to back months for August and September. For those that keep track, the general fund balance for today, this morning, \$303,650.12. The Hope Creek balance is \$893,188.32. That's before this payroll and the next payroll balance. I do hope that after we get the second distribution at the end of the month, that I will be able to pay down a little bit of tax distribution fund. Does anyone have any questions?

K. Swanson: The Hope Creek loan debt if you will, does that figure of \$3.35 million, does that include the now half million it now owes to the Employee Benefits fund? Or would that be in addition?



L. Ewert: that does not. That is only loan debts. That is part of the vendor debt. It would be included in that.

L. Moreno: Any other questions? Thank you Louisa. April? Report?

A. Palmer: none at this time

L. Moreno: Larry?

L. Wilson: As we're head into budget time, I appreciate Louisa at the last budget meeting for those of you not on the budget committee; there was a discussion about Devnet. That's a new tax solution software. It's something we've been trying to do for a long time, and I think right now the time is right. This is a definite; it's not a want by our departments, which is the county treasurer, the county collector, and the county assessment office. This is really a need. If we do this transparency with everything that happens with all taxing districts, we will be more transparent out there for people. I just wanted to give that plug here. We are working to get a solution in order to get that paid. We are doing that during budget and prior to budget. Just wanted to give the committee a head's up where we're at.

L. Ewert: Any questions?

A Normoyle: What is the ballpark for that expense?

L. Wilson: I don't have that sheet with me...total expenses are about 50,000 per year, 45,000. That will actually cover 4 departments because building/zoning also.

L. Moreno: If I did my math right, Louisa or someone said the cost is \$20,000 so about \$100,000 for program to start?

L. Wilson: No, it's going to probably be \$60,000. I can run down to that office and get my sheet.

L. Moreno: No that's ok.

L. Ewert: And then it's a license basically

L. Wilson: Yes it's a license, a software company, so we are going to contract with them. It's really going to be beneficial to our departments; it's going to be very beneficial in providing tax solutions. So starting off, the cost is going to be about \$61,500, after that it's going to be about \$53,000.

L. Ewert: We have already front loaded the first year into the current budget. My percentage, I have tax automation fund that I would be able to use. It wouldn't affect the general fund.



L. Wilson: Not all that will come out of the general fund. Louisa and the county clerk will be using some of their, some of their doc fees that they use to help pay for this. Just real quick here; we went to proposal in 2013. At that point, the first year cost, because everything has to be converted, was about \$76,000. With our discussions with them, they have agreed to only charge us \$61,500. We were able to get that decreased that quite a bit.

A Normoyle: So are we talking an instance where it would be less expensive? Who knew that could happen? Well done!

L. Wilson: We have had some very good conversations with them. They are operating in 67 counties in the state. And everyone around us has it. All the counties around us have this solution.

L. Ewert: Our AS program, which is the tax system we currently run on. It's an antique program.

L. Wilson: We can get reports, but it takes a while and it's hard to understand. I would like to do a demonstration sometime. I'll be working with the chairman on when we can provide a demonstration to you. It is going to be a very, very positive thing.

L. Ewert: It will help with our daily recording, balancing, end year balancing. Right now we kind of piece stuff together and make adjustments to the system to make it work. Not to mention, this Devnet system, anytime there's a legislative change, they put it right into the system. So that way if there are changes in cost and fees.

L. Moreno: Any other questions? Ok, Capt. Hart, anything for us?

D. Hart: I didn't come prepared but I'll just do a little bit. Kind of having to do with our last installment of taxes; we did do...it's amazing how many people come to the county building to do taxes. I think on that Monday, we had 1000 people come through the metal detector. I think that was a very good move by the chairman, the board, the sheriff with putting security down there. I think with the first time, with the first installment we did have some complaints of, you know, "Why are we..." The second time our guys said no one complained about it. With the nation trend, what we are seeing, people are understanding why we have security here. We do have a very large inmate population right now too. We've been pushing close to 300 here for some time. Jail maximum is 326. We are seeing a lot of people, unfortunately, incarcerated as well. Other than that, I don't have anything else.

K. Maranda: Your 300, is that all local?

D. Hart: That could be anyone, we have federal, local. We have some cook county to try and bring some revenue in.

K. Swanson: This actually for the Captain, and I'm not sure, it might be for April. And I'm sorry I didn't notice this earlier. As I was going through, on page 21, which is a cross fund report, there's a \$20 million difference in what we got on deposit at Black Hawk Bank. Making the total



**Rock
Island
County**

**Rock Island County
1504 Third Avenue
Rock Island, IL 61201
(309) 558-3619**

go from 32 million to 52 million. I was just wondering if that was just an extra digit that put in there.

A Palmer: So the cross fund report comes out of New World Systems after Louisa's office balances to the bank. I have a detailed cross fund balance report with me. Which one are you looking at?

K. Swanson: 682

L. Ewert. I think he's looking at my report. That would be Blackhawk. It could be...so the first column is the beginning balance and the second column is the ending balance. That is due to taxes. That includes taxes that were accumulating prior to distribution.

K. Swanson: It's just a nice, positive month. That's great! Thank you.

A Palmer: I do have additional information that I thought of yesterday that I did research yesterday afternoon. It was brought up in GHA some of the, there was a question about the current balance of the Hope Creek vendors. Today it is 1.96 million. That is with the property tax distribution allowing us to pay \$400,000 worth of vendor debt in June, and \$350,000 worth of vendor debt in July. So there's 1.96, almost \$2 million still today, despite the fact that we are distributing as much of that property tax to the vendors as possible.

L. Moreno: Thank you. Alright. Off of reports to committee, item #5...

J. Snider: Can I do a report? Our first budget committee meeting was last Thursday. We reviewed the outside agency requests, Hope Creek's budget, the auditor, treasurer, General County, and our maintenance and our administrative budgets. Most of those are just pretty much neutral from last year. Our next meeting is the 28th at 5:30. We will be reviewing the remainder of the departmental budgets at that date. We are working with Larry and April to determine exactly what our growth that we can apply to our levy amounts; see what type of numbers that we can place in before the preservation of any increases. So by the 28th we should know where we're at with what our deficit is; then we can discuss it from there. The second thing is, State's Attorney has appointed Polsinelli Law Firm from Chicago to assist us in the review of the response or advertisement for a real estate broker to sell Hope Creek. From that, was the only bidder, it came to me as the best in the industry, is Marcus & Millichap. They are very well-known; in fact my first conversation with Polsinelli yesterday he said "Well you picked a good real estate broker." Well, they selected us I guess in a sense. Part of the reason we only got one bid is right now real estate sales and marketing of property, is a pretty strong business. Most of the firms are a lot smaller than Marcus and Millichamp. I don't see that as a downside. Our plan is to move forward to pay for these bills out of the liability fund as a fund transfer. We are also asking for the opinion of Kyle Harding from Chapman and Cutler, who is our bond counsel. Asking them to give us a legal opinion on the handling our debt subject to the bid we receive. You should know we have \$12 million in mortgage debt approximately, and we have about \$7.5 million in short term debt. We are very confident that if we still have debt remaining from the mortgage after we can certainly continue the property tax levy for the nursing home. The question we want to nail down is, are we able to pay the short term debt with the property



**Rock
Island
County**

**Rock Island County
1504 Third Avenue
Rock Island, IL 61201
(309) 558-3619**

tax levy if the home sold? I'm waiting on that answer from him. So just as a perspective again, to help you understand more, I'm trying to gain direction as far as moving through this process, is Champaign County. I networked with two of their former administrators, their civil attorney. MPA has been gracious to give me some free perspective because they used to work there. Fortunately, they gave us a good template to follow. It's only been 6 months since they closed, and they started a year and a half ago. One of the things that concerned me is that Champaign County spent \$400,000 on legal bills. I don't think there's any way in heck that we are going to get close or near that number. Mainly because, they've gone through it, I think we are looking at taking a different approach than a blind bid. Champaign County put a blind bid. Marcus and Millichamp is recommending that we enter an agreement that they will market the home and then through a series of negotiations with the GHA committee, come up with the best bid. That's a process they are familiar with. The first question to Polsinelli is that legal? And are we going to be ok legally with that process? Hopefully these questions on the tax levy and the legality of the process of selling the home through a broker will be answered in the next few weeks and we'll have Marcus and Millichamp at the GHA committee meeting next month and possibly the COW to answer questions about the proposal. That's where we are at up to this point. The next item is we are looking to bringing to you a resolution for a cannabis sales tax with the recreational sale of marijuana beginning Jan 1. There is a mechanism in the statute for cities and counties to levy a sales tax. That amount is 3% across the county. And if there happens to ever be a sales location that is in an unincorporated area, it would be 3.75%. That's pretty much unlikely. State's going to regulate the number of facilities by population regions. We have a medical marijuana distributor in Milan. Those folks are gonna make out really really well. Because they will be selling recreational marijuana on Jan 1. It will take months to get additional recreational outlets open. And the state will be regulating that. Someone asked me at one of the committees "Will they be selling it at every gas station?" I didn't really comment on it, I really wanted to joke "well they probably are now." The answer is there won't be that many. Imagine Jan 1 in Milan there will be a line from Iowa Mississippi down to Milan for legal. Cities are allowed to also impose a 3% sales tax. So if we pass ours, it will be on top of that. The user fee, which is the least progressive tax, I'll bring for your consideration next month. I'm not sure exactly when it will be made effective; all we have to do is pass the resolution, file it with the Department of Revenue and they collect it for us and distribute it back to us. There is confusion of when it might go into effect because the general assembly, in their rush, they didn't put details in on how that distribution will come forth. That's coming forward next month.

D. Johnston: Can you throw Fritos in there?

J. Snider: I mean actually, you kid about it, but one of the things they discussed at this seminar is that there will be many food, gummies and things like that, that will be sold in grocery stores. They even talked about a pancake mix that has cannabis in it. There will be a, I want to say it's 1% more, but there's going to be a tax that grocery stores will collect for anything cannabis related, for food that is sold at Hy-Vee or wherever. And we will get a distribution of that back too.

D. Johnston: You know they sell that oil and cream and stuff like that.



J. Snider: CBD oil?

D. Johnston: Yeah. Is there any kind of tax on that now?

J. Snider: I think there's probably sales tax...

D. Johnston: So normal tax.

J. Snider: and that's for supposedly, you know, sore muscles, things like that. So anyway, that will be an opportunity to collect some money. We won't know what it is until it starts coming in, but then it will be an opportunity. The last thing...we had discussed a few months ago about maybe the value of hiring a lobbyist to help us with some of the things we had need done, primarily the renovation of this building. I did reach out to the lobbyist I was recommended. Varied attempts to reach him but have not been able to reach him. I'm assuming that he is too busy. So I'll continue to do so; if I get any information I'll let you know. I'm hoping that Representative Halpin will give us some assistance with this person.

K. Swanson: Two things: if you want to talk about some other potential advocates in Springfield, we've got some relationships, my employer does, we just know people. I don't know if they deal with local government...I'd be happy to brainstorm with you. And the other thing: I just encourage us all to shift that language 3% if we go forward with it on cannabis. That's the base. Let the cities put everything else on top of that. Our ½ cent local sales tax for the county, that's not on top of what the cities have enacted that get us up to 8, 9.5%, wherever we are. Ours is the base. Let them enact there. We need to be thinking of it that way, not 3% on top of. We've got to get our first.

J. Snider: I don't want you to be misinformed or uninformed. If cities pass their 3%...

K. Swanson: If? If cities pass their 3%? We've got to get there first.

J. Snider: Also, one more follow-up: there are cities in Illinois that already passed resolutions prohibiting any recreational distribution in their cities.

K. Swanson: That'll stop it.

J. Snider: But again, it's a philosophical decision. Those, of course Morton doesn't allow any gambling machines either...that's their policy. They don't want to allow that type of activity in their town. Just a perspective; I'm not advocating it, just giving a perspective.

K. Swanson: I appreciate it too. I'm sorry.

L. Moreno: Any other questions?

K. Maranda: Just so I'm clear, following the Champaign County situation, all we're looking for is to pay bonds off and continue with the levy?



J. Snider: That's our hope.

K. Maranda: So we're just hoping to get the bond money like Champaign County did?

J. Snider: Well Champaign County only received one bid. I've been advised, just through people I've spoken to, that they had several restrictions in their collective bargaining agreement. One of them was their contract, and that affected their bid by about \$3 million. Supposedly they would have gotten \$3 million if they didn't have all the restrictions that they placed in the agreement. So instead of a blind bid with those restrictions like Champaign County had, which I think I gave to you at one time, Marcus and Millichamp is recommending we get in enter in agreement and give them the authority to start with a requested amount, almost like selling a house, and put that in a 4-5 page agreement or posting if you will, then they start negotiating with prospective bidders over a process of say, 60 days. There will probably be a couple rounds where they have updated information, the GHA committee will be involved in all that, and hopefully bring for a best bid, highest bid to the board for review. They are anticipating... several people already contacted them ready to bid. I've gotten a few calls myself. So we'll see. It's a different process, I want to make sure it's legal, make sure we don't misstep so that's the reason that we are getting some legal opinions. Also we need this firm to help us with tort issues, with evaluation of the financial liability of the best bidder as well. I may come back and ask for some help from Spirit Financial, who does a lot of municipal evaluation of things. Again, it's not a matter of not being able to look at everything, it's a matter of, I think, providing the best value for the county. For myself, I've never done this before and I want you to be protected, so we may need additional help. Does that help?

K. Maranda: Oh yeah.

L. Moreno: Anyone else? Moving on to item #5.

5. Consider FY19 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant [JAG]

D. Hart: I can speak on that real quick. This is just an annual grant. Rock Island County has really been the lead on it for many years. I've handled it for the sheriff's office for 6 years, many years before that. The total grant amount is \$26,000. Both Moline and Rock Island are partners to use on the grant. They actually received 90% of the grant funds; we received 10% so around \$2,600. We can use that for law enforcement purposes. This year we are going to use our funds for replacement of some desktop computers that are at their end of life. The grant period is a 4 yr. period time, but we close it out much sooner than that. April works with us as does the treasurer's office. It's something we've been doing for many, many years.

Motion: K. Swanson

Second: A. Normoyle

Voice Vote

Motion carried



6. Consider delinquent tax resolutions
 - a. Permanent Parcel #1615202040 Tax Payer ID 101170334; South Rock Island Township
 - b. Permanent Parcel #0832313003 Tax Payer ID 0832313003; Moline Township
 - c. Permanent Parcel #1806200001 Tax Payer ID 1806200001; Hampton Township

Motion: K. Maranda
Second: D. Cremeens
Voice Vote
Motion carried

7. Consider proposal for Consulting Engagement for Hope Creek Care Center from Management Performance Associates [MPA]

Motion: K. Swanson
Second: A. Normoyle

Discussion:

J. Snider: Again, this is in the paper so if you read that paper you saw this. It's pretty simple. MPA, they manage homes in IL, they have managed homes in IL, facilities where county boards have sold. They are experts in the county nursing home business. They have a perspective that would help me as we go through the process of viewing proposals. I'd actually like them to review this proposal by Marcus and Millichamp. I don't expect it to be more than \$1000 a month. I don't expect it to be often that I reach out to them, but it's a request for assistance for them to assist me through the process.

L. Moreno: Will most of it be over the phone? Is that what you're anticipating?

J. Snider: Well for instance, when we received proposals in, when we receive R5 real estate directed proposal I'll get perspective on it as well, they provide me some...the last month or so they have been very generous with their time. And obviously they were paid well with the audit assessment thing. As the chairman knows and Brian knows, they've been involved every step of the way with everything I've discussed. They provide some interesting perspectives on our negotiations; some counter-intuitive thoughts I wouldn't ever have thought of. I think there's some value there. If the board doesn't, I'm fine with that. It's nothing personal; I just think it's a value to us.

D. Johnson: I'm wondering, well Marcus and Millichamp were competitors of MPA when we hired them the very first time. In fact, Marcus and Millichamp came in here and put a plan together. If we accepted it, we had to pay them. But if we didn't accept it, we owed them absolutely nothing. What I'm questioning, what I'm wondering, can you get the same information from someone we've already hired here for the attorney?

J. Snider: Well we could. I don't know these people. I've worked with MPA for several years now. I mean it's up to you. I'm just requesting it. If you don't see the same value in it...



D. Johnston: Yeah, I don't understand it so I'm not going to say right away...

J. Snider: It's no skin off my back.

D. Johnston: So what you're saying is \$250 an hr. You think 4 hours a month is probably maximum? That's probably phone work like the chairman said?

J. Snider: Yeah, and again, my perspective is, some of the advice they've already given. I don't know if Marcus and Millichamp manages homes, I think they sell homes.

D. Johnston: Maybe, I know they were in here originally.

J. Snider: I think they provided evaluation of the property. That's part of what they do as well. But they don't manage homes I don't believe. Everyone's perspective is different, but my perspective is if you are going to sell a facility for 13, 15, 19, 11 million dollars, whatever you are going to sell it for, it would be wise to spend the money you need to get from experts, to make sure you get the best price. Because candidly, there's a lot of room out there from what we could get and what we might get. And again, no criticism of Champaign County, but they only sold theirs for 11 mil on one bid. I think we can do better than that. And Part of it is learning from their mistakes and taking an approach that would be a little bit more holistic in making a decision. I'm more concerned about what Polsinelli cost Champaign County. That's just beyond my comprehension. That's not going to happen. If we spent 25% of that, that would be my guess.

D. Johnston: Is that a one person firm?

J. Snider: Oh no. They're huge. I say huge; they have dozens of other attorneys there. It's in Chicago. That's who Champaign County... they started out with a then a local attorney consulted them. He ended up having a conflict of interest with one of the bidders, and so that's when the civil division of Champaign County employed Polsinelli.

K. Maranda: So you say the civil division employed those?

J. Snider: Yep

R. Brunk: I just wanted to follow up on what Don was saying. I think that having MPA on the other end of the phone line at a lower rate may actually be beneficial and may cut off some of the expense as compared to calling an attorney at the higher caliber this one is at.

K. Swanson: I was just going to say, based on what I've heard this morning, remember that if we wind up on the trajectory that we're talking about a sale, that sale price will determine the extended liability of tax payers. And if, for instance, if we manage to undercut the sale price by 3 million, well the repercussion of that is tax payers will have to continue to pay until the \$3 million is erased. Whereas if we get the highest possible price for this, that will minimize the



**Rock
Island
County**

**Rock Island County
1504 Third Avenue
Rock Island, IL 61201
(309) 558-3619**

burden going forward on tax payers. I think that this is a very prudent investment to make with MPA, to get that context, because nobody in this building that is an expert in the kind of project we're thinking about right now. We could use the help.

A Normoyle: This letter references a Certificate of Need. Does that need to be reissued if we sell so it doesn't go with the home?

J. Snider: Again, that will come after. You'll have a final proposal, and they'll be evaluated. I'm sure they will come to committee, see the contract, you'll get them. And then once the board votes, and if the board does approve to sell it, then that is the next step. That is this Certificate of Need has to be approved by the Illinois Department of Health. That will require assistance from Polsinelli Law Firm. I see value with MPA because I think we can feed Polsinelli...so I want to make it easier on them. There aren't any attorneys in the room and I don't want to offend anyone, but there's some out there you pick up the phone and the clock starts ticking. We're definitely going to save a lot of money not having them go through this blind bid process and even check out that R&P. If we go the route of real estate and basically put it on the market, we're already saving legal fees. Know what I'm saying? I want us to make the best official steps as we go through this. I appreciate me being able to be honest enough to say I'm not an expert in certain areas. I treat it like it's not my money. I want to make sure we don't spend money that we don't have to spend. I'm very conscientious of that. So that's a big step as well. One of the things, and I'll comment on this, One of the things that concerned me is they began the process in November 2017, and they didn't close until February or March of 2018. In spending a lot of hours, emails, and telephone calls with former administrators, discussing, trying to figure it out because that's a big question mark, why did it take so long? Well, I found out one of the big reasons was, particularly, their nursing home was located on a piece of ground that has county buildings all around it. So they had to go through a zoning issue with the city because they had to build a road specifically for that nursing home facility. The other problem was, we all understand this...we've all bought and sold houses here; when you put a contract for sale, you're going to list exactly what's included and what's not. Well one thing they weren't prepared for was they didn't have a complete inventory list for the buyer to accept. So you can imagine, this bed, this piece of equipment, you know what I mean? I can have Roger Harman begin that process. The next few months get maintenance to document...let's get it ready so when the time comes if we do go that route, we can just pull it up and say "here it is." That's going to save you 6 weeks. I think we are doing a good job, between Roger and myself, working through every scenario that we can that we can find that we will face. Will we make mistakes? Yeah. They will be honest mistakes. It's a challenge at this level. I know we meet once a month. Please check with the chairman and Brian Vyncke. They will tell you it's a constant flow of information to them. And that's the only way I know that we can efficiently operate. That doesn't mean you can't call and ask me anything at any time. Just trying to be authentic to this process.

K. Maranda: Is Mike or Scott attorneys?

J. Snider: Mike or Scott?



K. Maranda: From MPA.

J. Snider: No they are not attorneys. They have been in the business for 20 years managing and accessing county homes. I'm your administrator, and for better or worse, I trust their judgement. I would feel more comfortable if I'm able to bounce things off of them as we go forward. I'm sure this Chuck Sheets is great, with Polsinelli. Again, working in this field for 24 years, you end up working with people you learn to trust and they are all smarter than me (which doesn't take much) but they're all smarter than me. I think it's worthwhile to trust me on this. But, that's just me.

L. Moreno: Any other questions?

A Palmer: It's not a question but if you need assistance with the inventory and stuff, I don't know if anybody has reached out to my office yet or my staff but we have an ongoing inventory for auditing purposes. And we do assist with the cost report as well, which goes more in depth with that as well.

J. Snider: It's just a discussion we've had in the last week or so. We have gotten started with anything. I appreciate that April.

A Palmer: Just offering up help.

J. Snider: Yes thank you.

K. Maranda: When will we know, or a ballpark figure...are we going to request a bottom line? Just like for the bond. Just like Champaign County just sold it for the bonds. Or are we looking for 15 million?

J. Snider: What we are looking at is, and you'll have to decide this, but the discussion is directed towards setting a price that will include what we owe on mortgage and short term debt.

K. Maranda: Can we throw that out there?

J. Snider: That will be coming to you next month. So that will be basically an asking price. Then we are going to negotiate off of that. Candidly, and I'll be glad to visit with you one on one about this, but I have a pretty good idea of what we are going to get. You can't go to a blue book and get a nursing home value. But you can talk to the experts and the way they assess it is nursing home's value is anywhere from \$40-90 thousand per bed. So you do the numbers: 245 beds. They do have an idea on what we should be able to get for it. A lot of it is going to be dependent upon how we market it, basically.

K. Maranda: Who has that idea?

J. Snider: Marcus and Millichamp. A lot of it has to do with how we market it. What I mean by that is, what kind of restrictions, if any, we put on the sale. Like I said earlier, if you allow certain



restrictions to be put on there, it's estimated it would lower the purchase price by \$3 million, which I think is a lot of money. Less restriction we put on is better from an economic point of view. I'd be glad to talk to you one on one about it and share particulars about those kind of numbers.

K. Maranda: This is just hypothetical I guess; Champaign County is laid out completely different than Hope Creek. Going up you know...I wonder if that's got any effect because with them not being as spread out so bad, they could do other assisted living and stuff.

J. Snider: Well candidly, the way ours is laid out, there is some concern that that lessened the value because we have second floors that are side wings. I don't think...I have a lot of information I'd be glad to sit down and talk with you about. How the food service is laid out in one central location. We have 3 separate second floor units with 1 elevator access; why as this built, why was that built, why does it have this. I always say this, and I mean it very seriously; I've visited with people who work for the county still and were involved when the homes was built. There is no reasonable criticism. You know, the market changes; things change. In 2008 or 9 when the home was built, you could buy JC Penney stock at \$70/share. Right now JC Penney is at \$0.61/share about ready to be listed. You also could have bought Amazon at \$30/share and now Amazon is at \$1800/share.

D. Johnston: Don't mention Polaroid. I don't want to hear...

J. Snider: I'm just saying, the market changes, we all make decisions. Everything that we are doing here, and this is my perspective: every decision a board makes, it's all subjective. I don't think there's anyone in this room or anyone that served previously and made these decisions that had an ulterior motive to hurt the county. You know what I'm saying? We're all in this together. I never see the percentage over chronically spilled milk. Maybe it's because I've been married and divorced more than one time. Life is too short to worry about what mistakes you make. So, I don't think that's going to be a big determining factor Moose. I mean, that's what I've been told. But it is somewhat an issue.

L. Moreno: Thank you very much. Any other comments, questions, concerns?

Voice Vote
Motion carries

8. Consider transfers of appropriation

Motion: K. Maranda
Second: K. Swanson
Voice Vote
Motion carries

9. Consider appropriation resolutions for funds



Motion: A. Normoyle
Second: K. Maranda

L. Moreno: Any comments, questions, or concerns?

D. Johnson: I have a quick question. The almost \$600,000 on liability fund for the sheriff's department; the description is just "transfer to other funds." Is that the radios?

D. Hart: Yes it is.

Voice Vote
Motion carries

10. Consider claims and TDs

Motion: D. Creemens
Second: K. Swanson
Voice Vote
Motion carries

11. Consider Board Member per diem/mileage report

Motion: K. Maranda
Second: D. Johnson

L. Moreno: Any comments, questions, concerns other than we haven't addressed it yet.

A Normoyle: We're still working on that? Ok.

R. Brunk: IT actually drug emails for me a couple weeks ago and were not able to find the communication we had talked about. Mr. Moreno and I have had some conversations about looking up IRS regulations.

L. Moreno: As a matter fact, he gave me a packet that I'm looking at it right now.

A Normoyle: Ok.

1 opposed: A. Normoyle
Motion carried.

12. Committee member opportunity for brief comment (*no decisions will be made*)

K. Maranda: I was just thinking about this; maybe when we get ready to do the 180 day thing before the election, maybe just throw it out of there, whatever Angie's concern is. That's all my comment. Just throw all the mileage out if you can.



D. Johnston: In a resolution?

K. Maranda: Yes

D. Johnston: That would be May of next year.

A Normoyle: I don't have as much of a concern as I haven't seen it. I would like to see it...

K. Maranda: That was just a suggestion.

A Normoyle: We can recreate it basically. If we can't find it, we can recreate it.

K. Maranda: Instead of worrying about it for 6 months, 180 days before the election, just throw it out. Throw everything out.

R. Brunk: I just wanted to remind everybody; Over the Edge for Big Brothers, Big Sisters is coming up July 23. Jessie will be repelling down the Hotel Blackhawk . That deadline for that fundraising is today.

D. Johnston: who do I write a check out for that?

R. Brunk: You can make it out to Big Brothers, Big Sisters.

D. Johnston: Well I'll give you \$100. I meant to do that today. I'll run out to the car and get the check book in a minute.

K. Swanson: That's awesome. Thank you for doing that.

R. Brunk: I just want to say thank you to those who have contributed. For those haven't had a chance to, anything is appreciated. And it's all for kids. And besides that, we don't want the city of Rock Island to bury us too badly on the competition, from the Mayor's office.

Motion to adjourn: D. Johnston

Second: D. Creemens. 9:23 AM